

Social Capital and Community and Introducing the **CD+SI Toolkit**<sup>TM</sup>

Kevan W. Lamm, Ph.D.,

Abigail Borron, Ph.D., and

Keith Atkins, Ph.D.

Department of Agricultural Leadership, Education and Communication





Social Capital and Community

Residents of local communities in Georgia often associate with one another to achieve a common goal, maintain and develop relationships, or simply enjoy the company of others. Some residents, for example, might donate their time and effort to build affordable housing for local families, provide meals to senior citizens, or pick up litter in public spaces. Others might become involved with various civic, professional, or recreational associations to build relationships within a community. Many residents have discovered volunteer or service opportunities in programs offered by University of Georgia Cooperative Extension. In fiscal year 2019, a total of 12,535 volunteers helped 312 Extension agents implement thousands of programs throughout the state of Georgia. As a result of these significant volunteer contributions and the considerable expertise



provided by agents and specialists, Extension programs reached over two million Georgians. The partnership between Extension professionals and local volunteers is based on supportive relationships, mutual trust, and a shared purpose. These factors encourage ongoing cooperation and promote civic engagement, resulting in greater social capital within a community.

Social Capital and Community



# What is Social Capital?

Social capital refers to resources resulting from relationships and networks within a community. These resources include the established expectations and practices (or norms) that shape the behavior of community or group members, known penalties for disregarding these norms, higher levels of trust, and the give and take transactions necessary for cooperation. Local residents are expected to act in ways that benefit other community members and the broader public. When these norms are observed and individuals work together as a cohesive group, the amount of social capital within a community will increase. If behavioral expectations are ignored and relationships built on mutual trust are weakened, a community will experience a reduction in social capital.

While an increase in social capital is usually considered a positive development for a community, it is important to note some potentially negative consequences. For example, active local citizens who generate most of the social capital in a community might resent inactive residents who are dependent on those resources. In addition, some group members might object to restrictions on personal behavior if regulations are considered excessive or unwarranted. There is also some concern that the established norms used to maintain group identity might result in a lack of diversity and distrust of residents who are different. Another potential downside of conformity is the tendency to minimize or dismiss the achievements of group members when they are



Social Capital and Community

inconsistent with expectations. It is important for communities to recognize and manage these negative consequences when attempting to cultivate and expand social capital.

While social capital can lead to undesirable outcomes in some cases, these resources will typically enable communities to function more effectively by engaging residents and encouraging teamwork. Communities with limited social capital, however, may lack the trusting and supportive relationships necessary for citizen participation and cooperation. So, how can local leaders and residents measure social capital within their communities? One method is to determine community perceptions of social capital, which is the extent to which community members perceive the availability of these resources.





Social Capital and Community

# Using the CD+SI Toolkit<sup>™</sup> to Measure Community Perceptions of Social Capital





Estimating community perceptions of social capital can be accomplished by examining community members' awareness of local relationships and related resources. The Community Diagnostics + Social Impact (CD+SI) Toolkit<sup>TM</sup> is developed to provide a quantitative measure of whether community members (1) value the concerns of other community members, (2) associate with their neighbors and local leaders, (3) trust their neighbors and local leaders, (4) can voice their concerns, and (5) are able to participate in local projects to solve problems. This approach involves determining local residents' awareness of these relationships and resources so communities can estimate the amount of social capital available to a community.

Understanding how residents perceive various aspects of social capital can allow communities to use this information to guide relevant discussions, establish priorities, and develop programs that target specific goals. These insights may help communities cultivate personal connections among local residents that promote trust and create the foundations for mutually beneficial relationships. It is important for local leaders and other residents to understand social capital and how it can benefit their communities.



#### Social Capital and Community

Social capital is often referred to as the relationship between individuals within a network, such as a community. These relationships are vital to the effective functioning of communities as they help to establish norms, trust, and shared identity among members. Therefore, considering social capital may provide important insights regarding the functioning of a community and potential opportunities for future growth.

#### References

- Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, S95–S120. https://doi.org/10.1086/228943
- DeFilippis, J. (2001). The myth of social capital in community development. *Housing Policy Debate*, 12(4), 781–806. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511482.2001.9521429
- Flora, J. L. (1998). Social capital and communities of place. *Rural Sociology*, 63(4), 481–506. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1549-0831.1998.tb00689.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1549-0831.1998.tb00689.x</a>
- Georgia 4-H. (2020, September 23). Impact of 4-H. <a href="https://georgia4h.org/about-us/impact-of-4-h/">https://georgia4h.org/about-us/impact-of-4-h/</a>
- Harrison, J. L., Montgomery, C. A., & Bliss, J. C. (2016).

  Beyond the monolith: The role of bonding, bridging, and linking social capital in the cycle of adaptive capacity.

  Society & Natural Resources, 29(5), 525–539.

  https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2015.1103389
- Hawkins, R. L., & Maurer, K. (2010). Bonding, bridging and linking: How social capital operated in New Orleans following Hurricane Katrina. *British Journal of Social Work*, 40(6), 1777–1793. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcp087">https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcp087</a>

- Hogg, K., Gray, T., & Bown, N. (2012). Crisis within social capital? The negative impact on natural resources of tension between household and community social capital in a migrant Ladino enclave on Utila. *Society & Natural Resources*, 25(5), 440–452. https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2011.561519
- livonen, S., Kyrö, P., Mynttinen, S., Särkkä-Tirkkonen, M., & Kahiluoto, H. (2011). Social capital and entrepreneurial behaviour advancing innovativeness in interaction between small rural entrepreneurs and researchers:

  A phenomenographic study. *Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*, 17(1), 37–51. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/1389224X.2011.536348">https://doi.org/10.1080/1389224X.2011.536348</a>
- McGehee, N. G., Lee, S., O'Bannon, T. L., & Perdue, R. R. (2010). Tourism-related social capital and its relationship with other forms of capital: An exploratory study. *Journal of Travel Research*, 49(4), 486–500. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287509349271
- Onyx, J., & Bullen, P. (2000). Measuring social capital in five communities. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 36(1), 23–42. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886300361002">https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886300361002</a>



#### Social Capital and Community

- Perkins, D. D., Hughey, J., & Speer, P. W. (2002).

  Community psychology perspectives on social capital theory and community development practice.

  Community Development, 33(1), 33–52. https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330209490141
- Portes, A. (1998). Social capital: Its origins and applications in modern sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.24.1.1
- Putnam, R. D. (1995a). Bowling alone: America's declining social capital. *Journal of Democracy*, 6(1), 65–78. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1995.0002
- Putnam, R. D. (1995b). Tuning in, tuning out: The strange disappearance of social capital in America. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 28(4), 664–683. <a href="https://doi.org/10.2307/420517">https://doi.org/10.2307/420517</a>
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community. Simon and Schuster.
- Putnam, R. D., Leonardi, R., & Nanetti, R. Y. (1993). *Making democracy work: Civic traditions in modern Italy*. Princeton University Press.

- University of Georgia Cooperative Extension. (2020a, September 21). Our programs: 4-H youth development. <a href="https://extension.uga.edu/about/our-programs.">https://extension.uga.edu/about/our-programs.</a>
  html#4h
- University of Georgia Cooperative Extension. (2020b, September 21). Volunteers. <a href="https://extension.uga.edu/about/join-our-team/volunteers.html">https://extension.uga.edu/about/join-our-team/volunteers.html</a>
- University of Georgia Cooperative Extension. (2020c, September 22). Our impact. <a href="https://extension.uga.edu/about/our-impact.html">https://extension.uga.edu/about/our-impact.html</a>
- Villalonga-Olives, E., & Kawachi, I. (2017). The dark side of social capital: A systematic review of the negative health effects of social capital. *Social Science* & *Medicine*, 194, 105–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.10.020
- Woolcock, M. (2001). The place of social capital in understanding social and economic outcomes. *Canadian Journal of Policy Research*, 2(1), 11–17.

## extension.uga.edu

Bulletin 1547-1 April 2021